IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 692 OF 2005

Deelip Haribhau Murkute. ... Petitioner
Versus.
Smt.Kusum Deelip Murkute & anr. ... Respondents.

Shri Atul G.Damle for the Petitioner.
Shri A.S.Gadkari, A.P.P.for the Respondent-State.

CORAM : ABHAY S. OKA, J.
DATED : 6th June, 2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard Shri Damle for the Petitioner. None appears for the Respondent No.1 though notice is served. Shri Damle submits that in Revision the learned Additional Sessions Judge ought not to have interfered with the order passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class.

2. By the impugned order, the Petitioner-husband has been directed to pay maintenance to the Respondent No.1 -wife at the rate of Rs.500/- per month from the date of the Application. Considering the well reasoned impugned Judgment and order, no case is made out for interference in a petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. Hence the Writ Petition is rejected.

3. The Petitioner is granted time of three months to comply with the impugned Judgment and order.

4. The parties to act on authenticated copy of this order.

Judge.